# **Appendix B: Detailed Assumptions**

(Detailed assumptions used within the study)

### Paragraph 3.2.3.1 Airport Improvement Plan Physical Airport Improvements

- 1. Maximum arrival capacity will increase at 16 of the 80 modeled airports during the 1996 to 2005 time frame.
- 2. Maximum arrival capacity will increase at 7 additional airports by 2010.
- 3. There are no additional AIP improvements anticipated between 2010 and 2015.

## Paragraph 3.2.3.2 Air Traffic Control Procedural Improvements

1. All procedural improvements implemented by 2010 were considered to be in effect at 2015.

#### Paragraph 3.2.4 Fleet Mix

- 1. When forecasting the future fleet mix, the proportion of U.S. aircraft in the world fleet will remain constant.
- 2. The percentage of each aircraft type in each class of aircraft in the fleet mix will remain the same in the future.
- 3. 1996 fleet values were obtained by interpolating between the 1995 value and 2005 value assuming a constant increasing (or decreasing) rate between those years.

#### Paragraph 4.0 Data Preparation

- 1. The baseline scenario assumes growth in traffic, changes in fleet mix, and continuous support of airport and procedural improvements.
- 2. The enhanced CNS/ATM scenario includes the same assumptions used for the baseline scenario and the phasing in of new technologies and capabilities.

# Paragraph 4.2 Assignment of Aircraft Types

- 1. New aircraft were added to the list by assuming that they would fly the same distribution of stage lengths as an aircraft in the same category.
- 2. New aircraft would fly the same number of legs per aircraft per day as similar aircraft.

### Paragraph 4.5 Assignment of Trajectories - Enhanced Scenario

- 1. Aircraft performance constraints such as maximum thrusts, speed, and others were considered constraint variables in creating flight trajectories.
- 2. The SUA availability and the activities around SUA were held constant.
- 3. For 2005, flights flying less than 1,000 nmi had their distances reduced (direct routing) when operating at flight level 240 and above.

- 4. For 2005, flights flying greater than 1,000 nmi were optimized for minimum fuel when operating at flight level 240 and above.
- 5. For 2010 and 2015, flights flying less than 1,000 nmi had their distances reduced (direct routing) when operating at 15,000 feet and above.
- 6. For 2010 and 2015, flights flying greater than 1,000 nmi were optimized for minimum fuel when operating at 15,000 feet and above.

#### Paragraph 5.1.1.1 Aircraft with Performance Data

- 1. In order to compute the fuel consumed by a flight, the weight of the aircraft at landing was estimated by assuming a passenger load factor of 70% and landing with 45 minutes of reserve fuel.
- 2. The maximum number of passengers on board was an average across the industry.

### Paragraph 5.1.1.2 Aircraft without Performance Data

- 1. The weight of the aircraft at landing was estimated from the maximum allowable takeoff weight for the aircraft.
- 2. It was assumed that there would be a constant specific impulse and that the aircraft operated at a roughly constant lift-to-drag.

# Paragraph 5.2.1 Fuel Burn

1. For all flights arriving within the CONUS, the same formula was used except that the delay time was always set to zero.